

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379573932>

# CUSTOMER IMPULSIVE BUYING BEHAVIOUR A STUDY OF PERSUASION

Article *in* Seybold Report · January 2023

---

CITATIONS

0

---

READ

1

4 authors, including:



[Farida Begum Nizam](#)

Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science

18 PUBLICATIONS 0 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## **CUSTOMER IMPULSIVE BUYING BEHAVIOUR: A STUDY OF PERSUASION FOR BUYING THE PRODUCT**

**Dr. Saifullah Khalid**

Associate Professor, Usha Martin University, Ranchi

**Dr.A.Ravisankar**

Professor, Dept.of Mgt. Studies, Erode Senguthar Engineering College, (autonomous), Erode

**Dr.Prabhuram Tripathy**

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management Studies(FMS), Sri Sri University, Cuttack

**Dr. Farida Begum N**

Department of MBA, Sri Ramakrishna college of Arts and Science, Coimbatore

**Dr Tushar Dhar Shukla**

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, UITTR, Chandigarh University,  
Mohali

**Dr. Swadesh Deepak**

Department of Business Administration, Unity Degree College, University of Lucknow  
Lucknow

### **Abstract**

The objective of this research paper is to decide the way in which a client gets impacted by factors that outcome drive purchasing. In this paper, we will find out the impression of impulsive on clients and the factors which influence it. The rapid and huge development in online shopping has changed the marketing strategy. Social presence assumes a significant part in the field of advertising. This paper concentrates because of social presence on internet based drive purchasing. The key advertising system is that customers make imprudent purchasing conduct in web based shopping. This paper proposes an examination conspire for an impulsive buying sharing model has been used before and has a few factors introduced. The model is assessed on an information examination in view of SPSS24.0 and AMOS23.0. The outcomes show that the primary factors like , interactivity, vividness, and media richness all have positive effect on social presence. In this way, in the variable relationship, social presence affects impulsive buying behaviour. This outcome has a hypothesis commitment on the showcasing hypothesis model which likewise has a significant practice importance in in marketing strategy for enterprises.

### **Introduction:**

Hypermarkets, Multiplex shopping centers, Super stores are the new essences of current

retailing environment in significant urban communities of India. The retail business in India has arisen as one of the most unique and quickly developing enterprises with several domestic as well as foreign player are trying to enter in Indian market. The online shopping in India is estimated to develop multi fold in the following five years, which is chiefly determined by lifestyle, increase in disposable income, social presence. With the improvement of online shopping, customers' shopping strategies can be partitioned into rational purchase and impulsive purchase. In this manner, it is important to read up hasty shopping conduct for which social presence is the critical factor in online shopping.

Social presence as the discernment framed by members during their online participation, , which emphasizes satisfaction or the genuine impression of others in video conferencing collaborations. Research concentrates on show that social presence is major areas of strength for an of satisfaction and that members with solid social presence use emojis to communicate nonverbal prompts to improve their social emotional experience. It has additionally been found that the intuitive idea of websites can advance the examination of individual presence and that the social presence conveyed by websites can impact conduct aim by affecting one's pleasure and perceived usefulness. It has likewise been found that social presence can further develop customers' security perception and buyer's perspectives as to virtual shopping. Be that as it may, there is no empirical study on the immediate effect of social presence on impulsive buying. In view of this research impulsive buying in web based shopping, this paper basically examines the basic predecessors of social presence online shopping, talks about what these elements mean for social presence, and afterward talks about what social presence in online shopping means for impulsive buying behavior.

**Interactivity:** Interactivity has been characterized in numerous ways. Intelligence speak with another paying little heed to distance or time directly.

intuitiveness as the level of cooperation through media and machines. According to the point of view of buyers, the correspondence among shoppers and merchants through the live communicating stage was bidirectional and coordinated.

**Vividness:** Vividness was the level of associated objects that consolidate the tactile experience of genuine items with the non sensory experience of visualizations . With regards to online business, clarity has been generally utilized in item quality demonstration. Like intuitiveness, vividness striking quality additionally help customers envision and experience items in a future utilization climate Internet shopping stages use tones, outlines, music, and video to invigorate shopper's visual, hear-able, and different faculties. Both interactivity and vividness plays an significant role on customer impulsive buying behaviour.

**Media richness:** Media richness refers to the media's ability to work with shared importance and understanding. The communication environment made by the businessman and the expansion of communication implies are the critical premises of making media variety. The live transmission stage not just gives cooperation between the anchor and buyers yet additionally organizes exceptional online client administrations in the business connect to help clients in setting orders and participating in after-sale services.

### **Review of Literature:**

Piron (1991) characterized impulsive buying as indicated by three viewpoints, specifically, an impromptu buy, profound feeling, and the ideal of the way of behaving, which is viewed as the buy conduct of pursuing choices right away; the creator likewise partitioned incautious purchasing conduct into experiential impulsive buying and non experiential impulsive buying. Nonetheless, later examinations further brought up that impulsive buyers were joined by emotional reactions

Wood (1998) accepted that impulsive buying was a spontaneous buy without cautious thought and joined by high profound struggles. Parboteeah et al. [5] showed that outside ecological excitement may essentially influence customers' view of item helpfulness and indulgence, along these lines influencing their incautious buy expectation. It tends to be seen that the more grounded the customers' information on the usefulness, the more positive mood in purchasing aim, in this way further advancing impulsive buying.

Cui et al. (2012) The possibility of social presence began from the two ideas of explicitness and closeness, which were associated with the investigation of relational connection in the field of social psychology and primarily center around remote exchange media.

Gefen and Straub (2005) presented the idea of social presence into the field of utilization examination and observed that the level of clients' confidence in electronic items and administrations was unequivocally impacted by friendly presence. Notwithstanding, with regards to online live shopping, the "human-to-machine contact insight" was totally not quite the same as the social presence achieved by the "human-to-human contact insight" on the live stage.

Both intelligence and distinctiveness altogether impacted social presence and in a roundabout way influence support. Commitment significantly affects all promoting adequacy markers, i.e., more noteworthy than the underlying speculation of this review. The vital job of commitment found the most common way of purchasing customers might cooperate with new media; a more safe profound interaction is more predictable with the information processing view than at first suspected, in this manner supporting the use and satisfaction paradigm.

Accordingly, we sum up the current literature on social presence and internet business, joined with the intuitive attributes of live communicating stages; this study contended that interactivity, vividness, and media richness chiefly influence social presence. interactivity was viewed as a sort of climate which clients can partake in and make changes in accordance with time.

### **Objective of the Study:**

1. To study Interactivity has a positive impact on social presence in online shopping.
2. To study Vividness has a positive impact on social presence in online shopping.
3. To study Media richness has a positive impact on social presence in online shopping.
4. To study Social presence has a positive impact on online impulsive buying behavior.

### **Research Methodology:**

The data collection for the study mainly gathered by electronic questionnaire. Questionnaires are sent through different platforms like Whatsapp, emails and other online social media platforms from metro cities of the country. The scales utilized in this study are experienced scales that have been utilized in international journals which have great reliability and validity. Descriptive statistics is directed for the things situated in the survey of this review, principally including average value and the standard differences of the factors, and the data distribution conditions of the estimation items were decided by each value.

At first, 340 self-questionnaires were dispersed during an extended period of field visits. Subsequent by erasing inadequate and incomplete questionnaires, 319 valid questionnaires (93.8%) were accepted. Among the respondents, 63.53% were female and 36.77% were male. At education level, 3.78% of the respondents had completed higher schooling, 7.24% had completed junior college, 54.41% had completed bachelor's degree, 31.47% has completed master degree, and 12.58% held a doctoral certification. The periods of the online shopping purchasers were as per the following: 3.72% were younger than 20; 36.06% were somewhere in the range of 21 and 30; 37.55% were somewhere in the range of 31 and 40; 12.53% were somewhere in the range of 41 and 50; and 9.23% were over 50. The annual income of the respondents were as per the following: 22.26% had a annual income underneath Rs 5,00,000 (approximately USD 5000); 24.23% had a yearly pay between Rs 5,00,000 and 10,00,000; 21.59% had a annual income between Rs 10,00,000 and 15,00,000; 10.79% had annual income between Rs 15,00,000 and 25,00,000; and 21.94% had a yearly pay above Rs2500,000.

The reliability the alpha coefficients of the variables for interactivity, vividness and media richness are 0.924, 0.845, and 0.880, respectively. The alpha coefficient of the main variable of social presence is 0.935. The alpha coefficient of impulsive buying behaviour is 0.879. The alpha coefficient of the moderating variable impulse buying is 0.897. Cronbach's alpha coefficient reference records are bigger than 0.7, which shows that every variable in the review has great reliable.

**Table-1 shows (CFA)**

<b>Factors</b>	<b>Amounts</b>
SP	11
Inter	10
VV	8
MR	5
IBB	8
PP	4
IBT	5
All	48
<b>Sample</b>	<b>340</b>

The main objective behind confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) is to approve validity as well as to analyze common method bias (CMB) as shown in table-1. There are numerous sorts of

validity, like convergence validity, structure validity, content legitimacy, and discriminant validity. The distinctions in each sort are portrayed as follows. As seen from the above table, a sum of 7 variables and 48 analysis were dissected by CFA. The sample size of this investigation was 340, which was multiple times more than the quantity of analysis items

**Table- 2 Convergence Validity Analysis**

Factors	AVE	CR
SP	0.58	0.935
Inter	0.52	0.824
Vivid	0.532	0.845
MR	0.501	0.880
IBB	0.590	0.879
PP	0.702	0.901
IBT	0.628	0.897

As seen from Table 3, the AVE values relating to the total of 7 variables are more greater than 0.5, and the CR values are higher than 0.7, and that implies that the analyzed have great convergence validity. Simultaneously, the comparing factor stacking value of every estimation thing is for the most part expected to be greater than 0.7.

**Table-3 Correlation Analysis**

	Mean	S.D	P	IB	IB
PP	2.35	0.78	1		
IBB	2.861	0.783	0.221*	1	
IBT	2.882	0.771	0.115*	0.189*	1

\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01

As seen from Table 3, the correlation value among PP and IBB was 0.212 and has a significant level of 0.01, demonstrating that there was a huge positive relationship between PP and IBB. The relationship esteem among PP and IBT was 0.115, and had significant level of 0.05, demonstrating that there was a huge positive connection among PP and IBT. Correlation analysis was utilized to concentrate on the relationships between PP, IBB, and IBT, and the Pearson correlation coefficient was utilized to show the strength of these connections. The relationship esteem among PP and IBB was 0.221 at an significant level of 0.01, which shows a critical positive correlation between PP and IBB. The relationship esteem among PP and IBT was 0.115 at an significant level of 0.05, which demonstrates a significant positive correlation between PP and IBT.

### Structural Equitation Model:

Structural equation modeling (SEM) is a corroborative examination technique that is utilized for multivariate statistical investigation. Through the dependability and validity testing of the questionnaire, it was presumed that every one of the scales utilized in this review have great

reliability and validity. AMOS involves the chi-square value as the fitting experimental outcome, and the fitting degree generally utilizes CMIN/DF, GFI, RMR RMSEA, AGFI, NFI, CFI and IFI as markers.

Structural Equation Model Testing of Interactivity, Vividness, MR, and SP As seen from Table 4, shows the SEM regression relation table of a structural equation model incorporates two sorts of relations, in particular, the impact structure relation and the measurement relation. The standardized way coefficient value is typically used to address the relationship, i.e., whether it influences the design relationship or the estimation relationship. On the off chance that this value is significant, this demonstrates that there is a significant relationship; in any case, there is no relationship between the given items. On the off chance that more way coefficients are not significant contrasted with those that are significant, this demonstrates that the model is poor. For this situation, it is prescribed to reset the model relationship, that is to say, to change the model. In this review, p values between interactivity, vividness, MR and SP factors were all under 0.05. Intuitiveness meaningfully affected social presence ( $\beta = 0.204$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and positive impact on social presence ( $\beta = 0.44$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). At long last, media richness positively affects social presence ( $\beta = 0.205$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and the hypothesis is valid, which showed that these three factors all affected SP; in this way, our hypothesis is valid. Social presence emphatically affects impulsive buying behaviour ( $\beta = 0.036$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and the hypothesis is valid. The hypothesis holds that price promotion affects impulsive buying behavior ( $\beta = 0.161$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

**Table-4 Structural Equation Model coefficient Parameter model**

Research Route			Standardized coefficient	Non-standardized coefficient	S.E.	C.R	P
SP	<---	Inter	0.204	0.271	0.072	3.668	***
SP	<---	Vivid	0.426	0.587	0.92	6.342	***
SP	<---	MR	0.216	0.291	0.76	3.821	***
IBB	<---	SP	0.334	0.336	0.06	5.632	***
PP	<---	IBB	0.161	0.055	0.053	3.010	0.003

TEST of Model Fitting Degree As seen from Table 5, the consequences of the product yield were utilized to confirm the model. The model suitability test show associated with the SEM included 10 classes and up to 38 list test quantities. There are three generally utilized wellness test shows, specifically, absolute fitting demonstrate, relative fitting demonstrate, and simplified fitting show. The implications and discriminant standards of each list are as per the following.



### Model Fitting Index

Item	$\chi^2$	df	p	$\chi^2/df$	GFI	RMSEA	RMR	CFI	NFI
Standard	-	-	>0.05	<3	>.9	<0.10	<.05	>0.9	>.9
Value	726.451	519	0	1.386	0.891	0.032	0.023	0.968	0.877
Others	TLI	AGFI	IFI	PGFI	PNFI	SRMR	RMSEA 90% CI		
Standard	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	<0.1	--	
Value	0.965	0.876	0.968	0.781	0.832	0.035	0.027~0.040		

**Goodness of-Fit Index (GFI)** Since the integrity of a chi-square test relies upon the sample size, the goodness-of-fit list (GFI) doesn't rely upon sample size for simple estimation; rather, the goodness-of-fit index can quantify degree to which the covariance framework predicts the S matrix. At the point when the GFI is 1, it is an ideal model; in any case, during modeling and analysis, it is by and that's what large trusted assuming the GFI value is greater than 0.9, the model outcomes are great. In this review, the GFI was 0.891, which is near 0.9. In this manner, it tends to be decided that the model fits well.

**Modified Goodness-of-Fit Index (AGFI)** The AGFI is like the GFI in that the nearer it is to 1, the better the fitting impact is. By and large, the value of the AGFI is expected to be essentially more prominent than 0.85; in any case, for a similar model, the AGFI should not be more noteworthy than the GFI. In this review, the AGFI was 0.876, which is greater than 0.85, and it was less than GFI; consequently, the model fitting impact was great.

**Root Mean Square of Approximate Error (RMSEA)** Among the various fitting shows, the likelihood of making type I and type II blunders is little, which presents a reasonable fitting index. The nearer the fitting index is to 0, the better the fitting impact of the model. In this review, the root mean square error value was 0.034, which is lower than 0.1; this shows that the model made a good fitting effect.

**Akaike's Information Criterion, AIC** AIC is often used to evaluate general statistical models. The better the model fits, the smaller the AIC value will be; however, the value is affected by the number of samples and parameters in the model. A large number of samples and parameters will easily cause a bad model to be mistaken for a good one.

**Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR)** For SRMR, some models are not greatly affected by N, while others are greatly affected by N. Hu and Bentler believed that when  $SRMR < 0.8$ , the model fitting effect is better. In this paper, the SRMR value was 0.036, which is less than 0.8. Therefore, it can be considered that the overall fitting effect of the model was good.



## Conclusion:

A powerful marketing module is proposed in this paper, which depends on the SOR hypothesis module and predecessors research, focusing on the issue of online impulsive buying. To confirm, the module is added to the element extraction network. Tests were done on the data analysis, the module gets the best presentation in the data analysis index GFI gets 0.903, and the outcomes show that the module proposed in this paper can work on the hypothesis.

The advancement of this paper are two hypothetical commitments. To start with, the idea of social presence comes from the area of psychology. The idea has stood out from brand management, marketing, and communication studies. Second, the academic commitments of this concentrate mostly incorporate the accompanying two angles: the factors influence the social presence of platform and laying out the applicable of relevant of impulsive buying behavior. Past examination on friendly presence has basically centered around man-made consciousness, online education and training, and VR, which has filled the hole in brand fondness research on live transmission stages and gave groundbreaking plans to follow-up research on brand affection in the internet content.

## References:

Gunawardena C. N. Social presence theory and implications for interaction and collaborative learning in computer conferences. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunication*. 1995;**11**(2-3):147–166

Rook D. W., Fisher R. J. Normative influences on impulsive buying behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 1995;**22**(3):305–313. doi: 10.1086/209452. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Piron. Defining impulse purchasing. *Advances in Consumer Research*. 1991;**18**:509. [[Google Scholar](#)]

Wood M. Socio-economic status, delay of gratification, and impulse buying. *Journal of Economic Psychology*. 1998;**19**(3):295–320. doi: 10.1016/s0167-4870(98)00009-9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Parboteeah D. V., Valacich J. S., Wells J. D. The influence of website characteristics on a consumer's urge to buy impulsively. *Information Systems Research*. 2009;**20**(1):60–78. doi: 10.1287/isre.1070.0157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Cui G., Lockee B., Meng C. Building modern online social presence: a review of social presence theory and its instructional design implications for future trends. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2012;**18**(4):661–685. doi: 10.1007/s10639-012-9192-1. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Gefen D., Straub D. W. Consumer trust in b2c e-commerce and the importance of social

presence: experiments in e-products and e-services. *Omega* . 2004;**32**(6):407–424.  
doi: 10.1016/j.omega.2004.01.006. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Blattberg R., Deighton J. Interactive marketing: exploiting the age of addressability. *sloan management review* . 1991;**33**(1):5–14. [[Google Scholar](#)]

Steuer J. Defining virtual reality: dimensions determining telepresence. *Journal of Communication* . 1992;**42**(4):73–93. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1992.tb00812.x. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Novak T. P., Hoffman D. L., Yung Y.-F. Measuring the customer experience in online environments: a structural modeling approach. *Marketing Science* . 2000;**19**(1):22–42. doi: 10.1287/mksc.19.1.22.15184. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Ariely D. Controlling the information flow: effects on consumers' decision making and preferences. *Journal of Consumer Research* . 2000;**27**(2):233–248. doi: 10.1086/314322. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Fiore A. M., Kim J., Lee H.-H. Effect of image interactivity technology on consumer responses toward the online retailer. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* . 2005;**19**(3):38–53. doi: 10.1002/dir.20042. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Lee K. M. Why presence occurs: evolutionary psychology, media equation, and presence. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments* . 2004;**13**(4):494–505. doi: 10.1162/1054746041944830. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Jiang Z., Benbasat I. Research note-investigating the influence of the functional mechanisms of online product presentations. *Information Systems Research* . 2007;**18**(4):454–470. doi: 10.1287/isre.1070.0124. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Phillips, Olson J. C., Baumgartner H. Consumption visions in consumer decision making. *Advances in Consumer Research* . 1995;**22**:280. [[Google Scholar](#)]

Daugherty T., Li H., Biocca F. Consumer learning and the effects of virtual experience relative to indirect and

direct product experience. *Psychology and Marketing* . 2008;**25**(7):568–586. doi: 10.1002/mar.20225. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Fortin D. R., Dholakia R. R. Interactivity and vividness effects on social presence and involvement with a web-based advertisement. *Journal of Business Research* . 2005;**58**(3):387–396. doi: 10.1016/s0148-2963(03)00106-1 [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

Daft R. L., Lengel R. H. Organizational information requirements, media richness and structural design. *Management Science* . 1986;**32**(5):554–571. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.32.5.554. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]